

36

Town of Georgetown

MINUTES

1		
2	Committee:	Planning Board
3	Date:	August 10, 2016
4	Time:	7:00 pm.
5	Location:	Georgetown Town Hall, 3rd floor conference room.
6		
7	Members present: Harry LaCortiglia; Chairman Pro Tem, Tillie Evangelista, Matt Martin, Bob Watts.	
8	Staff present: Administrative Assistant Andrea Thibault.	
9	Members and staff absent: Chairman Rob Hoover, Town Planner Heidi Murphy.	
10	Minutes taken by A. Thibault.	
11	The Meeting was called to order at 7:12pm by H. LaCortiglia, Chairman Pro Tem.	
12		
13	Approval of	
14		the March 23, 2016 meeting were reviewed and approved with corrections.
15	T. Evangelista: Motion to approve minutes of March 23, 2016.	
16	M. Martin: Second.	
17	Motic	on carries 4-0; 1 absent.
18	N.C	.1 1 1 12 2017
19	Minutes from the July 13, 2016 meeting were approved.	
20	B. Watts: Motion to approve minutes of July 13, 2016.	
21	T. Evangelista: Second. Motion carries 4-0; 1 absent.	
22	Motic	on carries 4-0; I absent.
23	Commonando	anao.
2425	<u>Correspondence:</u>1. Letter from Attorney General's Office approving Warrant Article 18.	
26	1. Letter	Thom Attorney General's Office approving warrant Article 16.
27	Vouchers:	
28	1. N	one
29	1. 1	One.
30	ANR: 23 and 27 Pillsbury Street.	
31	Ed Cavatori,	27 Pillsbury Street: We are doing a land swap with Alan Aulson.
32	H. LaCortiglia: Your original plan had numerous errors and omissions. I asked Mr. Cavatori to bring	
33	the amended plan. On the amended plan, I am still seeing the same error that I saw beforethe	
34	repeated misidentification on the plan of Map 12 lot 25 needs to show as Map 12 lot 25B. Please	
35	correct the errors and omissions, and bring it stamped with 3 copies to the Planning Office. You can	

- 37 R. Watts: We would like to resolve this for you
- 38 <u>Public Hearing</u>: Continuation of the Chaplin Hills OSRD/Preliminary Subdivision.

then get it resolved at the next Planning Board meeting on August 22nd.

- 39 Jon Eichman, Town Counsel: This hearing was re-advertised anew because it was discovered that it
- 40 was not advertised in two consecutive weeks originally.

- 41 H. LaCortiglia: First, the applicant will present. Then we will hear from the public. Then we will hear
- 42 from the Planning Board.
- B. Watts: {Reads Public Hearing Notice into the record}.
- 44 Paul Marchionda, Project Engineer.
- 45 Fred Fahey, Project Developer.

46

- 47 M. Martin: Motion to open the Public Hearing for the OSRD for the Chaplin Hills
- 48 subdivision.
- 49 B. Watts: Second.
- Motion carries 4-0; 1 absent.
- P. Marchionda: Did you want to collect all the green cards?
- 52 H. LaCortiglia: Yes. Was the abuttor's list provided by the assessor's office?
- 53 P. Marchionda: Yes.
- We would like to extend the cul-de-sac to 30 lots. We are also required to look at how this could be
- developed as an OSRD subdivision. In this OSRD plan, a little over 100 acres is open space. As I
- understand it, the process is that we present these two options to the board and the board gives us
- 57 their recommendation as to which one we should go forward with. Total length of the road would be
- 58 2800 feet, so we would be looking for a waiver for that option. Under your zoning regulations we
- 59 would be providing affordable housing or a cash payment. As you can see in the plan, there are
- wetlands. There is no floodplain on the site.
- 61 Members from the public in favor: None.
- 62 Members from the public not in favor:
- Roger LaPointe, 3 Chaplin Hills Road. I have been a resident here for 20 years. This property is at
- 64 the foothill of the highest residential street in Essex County with significant stormwater. There is an
- enormous amount of wildlife on the property. The water quality is an issue in this town, traffic,
- school overcrowding. There are numerous vernal pools on the property. I request that the
- 67 Georgetown Conservation Agent's letter be read into the record.
- We are down about 1/3 of our base due to vacation week. We are concerned about the length of the
- 69 road for fire truck access. It is very wet, and very hilly in that property. I have a petition signed by 49
- 70 people against this development that I will ask to be part of the record.
- 71 Joyce Calabro: 8 Chaplin Hills. There are a number of people that could not make it tonight. A letter
- 72 from Rose Coomb. I am very much opposed to the development. A letter from Kara Peters and Tim
- Niker of 23 Nelson Street. We are writing to express our vehement opposition to the development.
- 74 This is a rich ecosystem of ponds, vernal pools, portions of this area currently enjoy protected status.
- 75 Jim, Pamela and Madeline 2 Chaplin Hills Road. Must not disturb wetlands and conservation lands.
- 76 Jeff Barry 41 Baldpate Road; opposed to this development.

- 77 Ty Cobb: I want to come to the Board with some inquiries. Multiple developers have looked at that
- land over the years. There has been a yield issue. What is the history there, and why would things
- 79 change for this development? Is the waiver for the length of road a limitation? Isn't the current road
- already at maximum road length? Can you discuss the history of road length waivers? What does the
- Board take into overall Planning for the town? There are 115 homes already in the area. With the
- potential of 30 homes, the neighborhood of Chaplin Hills Road would become just a throughway.
- 83 Every house that goes on there goes into a deficit for the Town. It seems that the Board is very strict
- on regulations, and I implore you to keep with the regulations roadway length.
- 85 H. LaCortiglia: We won't be determining yield tonight. That will have to be done by a good deal of
- 86 investigation.
- We will need an order of wetland delineation. It is a very challenging piece of land. I see that as a
- very difficult parcel of land to develop and I have been in construction for 36 years. Chaplin Hills
- 89 Road is already 1500 feet. I believe 1000 feet is the maximum so it already was a significant waiver to
- 90 get to 1500 and 2800 feet is being requested.
- 91 T. Evangelista: I believe that would be a Community Assessment that the developer would provide to
- answer some of those questions.
- 93 H. LaCortiglia: I'm sure the developer would provide a traffic study.
- Marilyn Collins: 123 Elm Street; where is this water going to dump into? I used to have dry land.
- 95 The water is coming right up to my back door. The stormwater runoff is ruining my property
- 96 H. LaCortiglia: I can ensure you that Stormwater calculations will be done.
- 97 Gregg Snyder, 4 Blueberry Lane; I share a lot of the concerns but I would like to give the developers a
- 98 chance.
- 99 Rich Hayes, 201 Central. The traffic coming across Brook Street looks impossible
- 100 H. LaCortiglia: We would have a traffic study done, and we would have our consulting engineer.
- Barbara Weinberg: Behind that is conservation land. Looking at this conventional plan, this is going
- to be a cut through.
- James Beasley, 33 Nelson Street: The water right now comes into the back and front of my property.
- 4 feet deep trench of water. I see these cul-de -sacs that will make it worse coming down from
- Baldpate Hill. I am opposed to this, I don't see where they are going to direct the water.
- 106 H. LaCortiglia It is a very large watershed.
- George Comiskey, 45 Old Jacobs Road: Parker River Watershed. We are looking at water supply.
- Right now we are allowed to pump a set amount. We would be interested in hearing what the water
- estimates are for this development. 10-12 K a day? We are not handling the drought very well at

- current capacity. Easement on 38 Brook Street, for the septic system. The road is going through 175
- 111 Central, how could they put a road through someone's septic system?
- 112 F. Fahey: We have an option on 175 Central Street. It was written by the BOH in Georgetown.
- 113 H. LaCortiglia: Please submit your letter from the landowner.
- 114 F. Fahey: We do have a letter of permission from Brian Farmer.
- Steve Calabro, 8 Chaplin Hill Road. I notice that the plan submitted tonight is not the plan that was
- originally submitted. As mentioned, there are a lot of wetlands and it is also against the law to work in
- the 100 foot buffer zone. Alot of these lots, there is not enough space to build a house, to build a
- septic, and to stay out of the buffer zone. It is a significant safety concern to extend the length of the
- road to 2800 feet. This is not a workable plan.
- For the conventional subdivision dated 12-21-15, the same thing applies here. If you overlay the 100
- foot buffer zone, it make the plan undevelopable. Especially in this detail here where there would
- need to be filling in of wetlands. Two 100 foot buffer zones are coming together here where the road
- is proposed to go in.
- 124 Alot of the people here were at the Conservation Commission meeting. The Conservation
- 125 Commission requires that the developer make a payment so that they can hire a wetland scientist to
- delineate the wetlands.
- 127 H. LaCortiglia: With either plan, you need a wetland delineation. This board needs to establish yield.
- David Masse: 6 Chaplin Hills Road: What is the study done on water pressure for new homes that
- 129 would be done?
- Joe Molis, 9 Chaplin Hills Road; The current owner recently sold 10 Chaplin Hills Road. The person
- that owns this land, recently marketed and sold his property as a prime location at the end of the cul-
- de -sac. I really hope that the Board keeps that in mind, and that this Board and the Conservation
- 133 Commission holds this applicant accountable. Please do not grant a single waiver.
- 134 H. LaCortiglia: {Reads into the record the letter from Georgetown Conservation Commission. Reads into the record
- 135 letter from Georgetown Building Inspection department.
- M. Martin: Motion for M-account for technical review services in the amount of 4,000.
- B. Watts: second.
- Motion carries 4-0; 1 absent.
- F. Fahey: I am going to check this with my attorney before I pay that. You are not going to approve
- 140 the OSRD.
- 141 H. LaCortiglia: I think you are projecting your lack of intention onto the Planning Board.

- 142 M. Martin: What the abuttors are saying is not what the Planning Board is saying. The Planning
- Board is saying submit a real OSRD and then we will talk about it. But you are not submitting a real
- OSRD. You have made that very clear over and over again.
- Jon Eichman: They are required to submit a wetlands delineation on the OSRD plan. I read the
- regulations Ch. 165-51- A1 as saying that. That is a requirement of submitting an OSRD. You have
- not submitted the correct plan at this point. If you do not submit a correct plan, they can deny based
- on lack of information and you cannot proceed to a subdivision plan in that instance because you will
- not have complied with the bylaw.
- 150 H. LaCortiglia: {Reads Georgetown Conservation Agent's email into the record; Reads Fire Chief, email into the
- 151 *record*}.
- M. Martin: Motion for a site walk, August 27 8:30am.
- 153 Second: B. Watts.
- Motion carries 4-0, 1 absent.
- 155 F. Fahey: We have an option on the land, but it is still owned by the Faragi Trust.
- J. Eichman, Town Counsel: I understood that the Town Planner requested an extension of time for a
- decision until September 30th. This is a special permit application. By law, the time for decision on a
- special permit is 90 days from the close of the Public Hearing. The Public Hearing is ow open. It has
- not been closed. There is no reason to extend the time for the decision, it hasn't even started to run
- 160 yet.

165

- M. Martin: Motion to continue the Public Hearing until September 28, 2016 at 7:15pm.
- Second: B. Watts.
- Motion carries 4-0; 1 absent.
- 164 5 minute recess
 - Old Business: Palmer Lane.
- 166 H. LaCortiglia: I know very little about this subdivision because I was recused from it. I am going to
- rely on Jon for this is it appropriate for me to sit here since the Board has already voted on this?
- 168 Jon Eichman, Town Counsel: I would advise that you put it on the next Agenda for the Planning
- Board. You are not conflicted administratively but you cannot discuss substantive issues.
- 170 Tony Franciosa, Steve Franciosa, Project Developers.
- 171 Dennis Quintal, Project Engineer: Since the notice of decision approval, we have been working with
- Heidi. Several things have come about in the last week or so. We have some miscommunication or
- disagreement about the mylars Larry Graham had a recommendation that she did not agree with. In
- addition, she requested the 19 pages of the decision put on mylars. So there was a conflict with Heidi,
- and then she took ill. We are looking for some guidance regarding the mylars. Yesterday, in the
- planning office when we were reviewing the Notice of Decision, we noticed that page 5 was missing in

Town Clerk is missing page 5, and scribble marks on page 10 and 11 on the original. We cannot 178 record the decision in this state. 179 Steve Franciosa: The appeal period is over. 180 181 H. LaCortiglia: You do not need to put 19 pages of decision on the mylars. At the same time take your Form G, M, N which are the covenants on the lots, utility easements and record all that. At that 182 183 point, now you can go to your pre construction meeting. Board has unanimous consent. 184 Jon Eichman: Someone needs to look back at the files, put on the Agenda the Notice of Decision as a 185 business item and in the meantime ask the Town Planner to research this and put together a 186 recordable document. To assemble the decision, I would ask the Planning Board at least looks at this. 187 M. Martin: Motion that the Planning Board direct the Planner to schedule a preconstruction 188 meeting as soon as possible on or after August 24, 2016 so long as the only outstanding 189 condition is the recording of the final decision. 190 Second: B. Watts. 191 192 Motion carries 4-0; 1 absent. 193 194 <u>List of Documents and Other Exhibits used at Meeting:</u> Documents and Other Exhibits used at meeting will be available for review at the Georgetown 195 196 Planning Office. Motion to adjourn was made by M. Martin. 197 T. Evangelista: Second. 198 Motion carries 4-0; 1 absent. 199 200 201 The meeting was adjourned at 10:05pm. 202 203

the original and in the town clerk stamped decision. The original decision signed and stamped by

177